

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AT AURANGABAD.**

Criminal Application No. 4924 of 2016

District : Jalgaon

Anil s/o. Bhagwat Deshmukh,
Age : 56 years,
Occupation : Government Service,
At present working as
Avval Karkoon (A.K.) /
Entertainment Duty Inspector
with Tahsil Office at Erandol,
Taluka : Erandol,
District : Jalgaon,
R/o. Plot No. 29/A,
Chandraprabha Colony,
Near Unit Chamber, Jalgaon,
Taluka & District : Jalgaon. .. Applicant.

versus

The State of Maharashtra,
through the In-charge
Police Station, Jamner,
District : Jalgaon. .. Respondent.

.....

Mr. Rajendra S. Deshmukh, Advocate, for the applicant.

*Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, Addl. Public Prosecutor, for the
respondent.*

.....

CORAM : A.M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 7TH SEPTEMBER 2016

ORAL ORDER:

Applicant Anil s/o. Bhagwat Deshmukh /

accused in Crime No. 73/2016 for offences punishable under Sections 420, 464, 465, 468, 501 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, registered with Police Station, Jamner, District Jalgaon, by this application, is seeking his release on bail.

2. Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the applicant / accused. He argued that as major portion of investigation of this case based on documentary evidence is over, there is no need of further pre-trial detention of the present applicant who is now serving at a distance of about 50 Kms. from the place where the crime has been allegedly committed.

3. The learned Addl. Public Prosecutor opposes the application by contending that the applicant had issued forged certificates to various agriculturists and agricultural labourers. The crime committed by him is serious and, therefore, he is not entitled for bail.

4. Perused papers of investigation. According to the prosecution case, by forging signature of Tahsildar, Jamner, the applicant / accused, while working as Avval Karkun at the State treasury, had issued several certificates to several individuals, certifying them to be agriculturists or agricultural labourers.

5. Perusal of papers of investigation shows that the crime in question is based on documentary evidence. The applicant is now in the magisterial custody remand. He being employee of the State, has deep roots in the society and there is no possibility of him fleeing from the course of justice. As such there are no reasons to deny bail to the applicant.

6. Hence, I pass the following order :-

(a) The Application is allowed.

(b) The applicant / accused, in the above crime, be released on bail on his executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs. 15,000/- and on furnishing one or more solvent sureties of the like amount.

(c) The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any persons acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts either to the Court or to any police officer.

(d) The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner and shall cooperate the learned trial Judge in expeditious disposal of the trial against him, in the event of filing charge-sheet, if any, against him.

(e) The applicant shall not repeat commission of similar type of offences in future.

7. The Application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(A.M. BADAR)

JUDGE

.....