

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1103 OF 2016

Balu s/o. Kisan Aadlinge ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra
and anr. ..Respondents

--

Mr.U.A.Bhadgaonkar, Advocate for petitioner
Mr.A.R.Borulkar, APP for respondent nos.1 and 2

--

**CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : OCTOBER 20, 2016**

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, confines the petition only for issuing directions to the Superintendent of Police, Jalna, to decide the representation filed by the petitioner on 25.07.2016 expeditiously. He further submits that even the statements of the family members of the petitioner have not been recorded by the Investigating Officer.

3. The learned A.P.P. for the respondents submits that the 'B' Summary report has been forwarded to the superior Officer, for approval.

4. After hearing the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. appearing for the respondents, in our opinion, the ends of justice would be met if the Superintendent of Police, Jalna is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and after summoning the investigation papers, to take decision on the representation filed by the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, however, within four weeks from today.

5. Accordingly, the Superintendent of Police, Jalna is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and after summoning the investigation papers, to take decision on the representation filed by the petitioner on

25.07.2016, as expeditiously as possible, however, within a period of four weeks from today. With these directions, the petition stands disposed of.

6. The parties to act on authenticated copies of this order.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[S.S. SHINDE, J.]

kbp