

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY BENCH AT
AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9643 OF 2015

Shaikh Ejaj Shaikh Yasin

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others

.. Respondents

Shri Anant R. Devakate, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Smt. S. S. Raut, A. G. P. for Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4.

Shri S. S. Shete, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 12TH JANUARY, 2016.

PER COURT :-

1. Issue notice to respondents. Learned A. G. P. waives notice for respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4. Mr. Shete, the learned counsel waives notice for the respondent No. 3, who is present in the Court.

2. Mr. Shete, the learned counsel for the respondent No. 3 on instructions from the respondent No. 3, who is present in the court submits that, special drive has been conducted for removal of encroachment and the respondent No. 3 has performed the statutory function as laid down under the statute and is not projecting any person for the same.

3. In view of the said statement, writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]