

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

930 WRIT PETITION NO. 9394 OF 2016

SHANTARAM LAXMAN KSHIRSAGAR
VERSUS
THE STATE ELECTION COMMISSION OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS
ELECTION COMMISSIONER MU

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. R.B. Temak
AGP for Respondents:Mr. S.S. Dande
Advocate for Respondent No.1 :Mr. S.T. Shelke
Advocate for Respondent No.4 :Mr. R.V. Naiknaware

...
CORAM : R. M. BORDE & V.K. JADHAV, JJ.
Dated: October 04, 2016

...
PER COURT :-

1 It is not the case of the petitioner that his right to franchise has been taken away, however, his name has been shifted from one ward to another. According to the respondent the inclusion of the name of the petitioner in another ward is in consonance with the delimits of the wards which order has become final.

2 Keeping the option of the petitioner to avail of remedies available in law, at an appropriate stage open, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(V.K. JADHAV, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)