

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9409 OF 2015

ARACHANA NITIN HINGMERE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Shrikant G. Kawade.
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : Mr. A. M. Phule.
Advocate for Respondent No.5 : Mr. N. N. Jagadale.

. . .

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. BADAR, JJ.

DATE : 22nd FEBRUARY, 2016.

PER COURT:

1] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits respondent No.5 had contested election from Ward No.1, wherein, same was reserved for OBC female. The petitioner contested for the post of Sarpanch which is reserved for OBC female. Learned counsel relies on the reservations meant for Ward No.1.

2] It would be seen that there were 2 posts in Ward No.1. Respondent No.5 contested for the post meant for OBC female and was elected and thereafter, has filled in the nomination for the post of Sarpanch, which is reserved for OBC female. No error is committed in that regard. There is no substance in the petition. Writ petition is dismissed.

[A.M. BADAR]
JUDGE

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

grt/-