

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO.8964 OF 2016

Vitthal s/o Sayanna Sughandhe

Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

Mr.S.R.Choukidar, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.S.B.Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondent No.1.

Mrs.Yogita Kshirsagar, advocate for Respondents No.2 & 3.

CORAM : R.M.BORDE &

K.K.SONAWANE, JJ.

DATE : 26th September, 2016

PER COURT:

Since the challenge raised in respect of order of suspension issued by the Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, no interference is called for. The issuance of order of suspension cannot be equated with the penalty. It would be open for Respondent-authorities to conduct inquiry. In the peculiar facts and circumstances, in exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, no interference is called for.

Writ Petition stands dismissed. However, Respondents shall complete inquiry proceedings within six months from today.

K.K.SONAWANE
JUDGE

adb/wp896416

R.M.BORDE
JUDGE