

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11331 OF 2016
IN
SECOND APPEAL STAMP NO.25171 OF 2016

Vinayak Bhagwan Choudhary

APPLICANT

VERSUS

Tatyasaheb Haridas Choudnary and Others

RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. Aniruddha A. Nimbalkar, Advocate for the applicant

Ms. Sheetal Salunke h/f Mr. V. D. Salunke, Adv for respondents

.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]**DATE : 9th DECEMBER, 2016****ORDER :**

1. Heard learned advocate for the parties.
2. Mr. Nimbalkar, learned advocate refers to the reasons as are contained in civil application.
3. Learned advocate for the respondents purports to resist the application, however, is not in a position to challenge veracity of the contents in the application under which the delay is stated to have been caused. In any case, it will have to be considered that by causing delay, the applicant can be said to have hardly gained any benefit.

4. In the circumstances, looking at the quantum of delay, which does not appear to be inordinate, it would be expedient to allow the application. As such, civil application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause "B" and is disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

drp/ca11331-16