

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

907 WRIT PETITION NO. 8930 OF 2016

DINKAR RANGNATH PANDARKAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE ELECTION COMMISSION MAHARASHTRA STATE THROUGH
THE CEO AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. A.K. Gawali
AGP for Respondents: Mr. S.G. Karlekar
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr. S.T. Shelke

...

CORAM : R. M. BORDE & K. K. SONAWANE, JJ.
Date: August 20, 2016

...

PER COURT :-

1 Since the process of election is already set in motion, in view of the constitutional bar provided under article 243-O, no interference is called for in exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction under article 226 of the Constitution of India.

2 Keeping open the option of the petitioner to avail of remedies available in law, at an appropriate stage, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(K. K. SONAWANE, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)