

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9223 OF 2016

WITH

WP/9224/2016 WITH WP/9225/2016 WITH WP/9226/2016 WITH
WP/9227/2016 WITH WP/9228/2016 WITH WP/9229/2016 WITH
WP/9230/2016 WITH WP/9231/2016 WITH WP/9232/2016 WITH
WP/9233/2016 WITH WP/9234/2016 WITH WP/9235/2016 WITH
WP/9236/2016 WITH WP/9237/2016 WITH WP/9238/2016 WITH
WP/9239/2016 WITH WP/9240/2016 WITH WP/9241/2016 WITH
WP/9242/2016 WITH WP/9243/2016

KHANDESH SHIKSHAN MANDAL

VERSUS

NEETA BHIMRAO BAVISKAR AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Shri Deshmukh Ajinkya h/f Shri Hon Ashwin V.

AGP for Respondent / State : Shri Kaldate S.D.

Advocate for Respondent 1 : Shri Jadhav K.B. (WP 9238/2016)

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

Dated: August 30, 2016

...

PER COURT :-

1. Learned Advocates for the petitioners and respondent No.1 / employee in all these matters jointly submit that consent terms, duly signed and identified by the litigating sides and their Advocates, dated 29.8.2016, have been placed on record in each of these petitions. The said consent terms are marked as Exhibit "X" for identification in each of these Writ Petitions.

2. It is jointly submitted on instructions and on the basis of Exhibit "X" that the impugned judgments of the School Tribunal, Nasik would stand modified in terms of Exhibit "X" and these petitions can, therefore, be disposed off as per the consent terms.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 WRIT PETITION NO. 9223 OF 2016 + GROUP

3. In the light of the above, the impugned judgments in each of these petitions stand modified, as per the consent terms and all the petitions stand disposed off accordingly.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...