

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 5043 OF 2014

Ushabai w/o Baburao Karale ...APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ...RESPONDENTS

Mrs Renuka Ghule-Palve, Advocate for applicant;
Mr S. M. Ganachari, Addl. Public Prosecutor for respondent no. 1;
Mr S. R. Shirsath, Advocate for respondent nos. 2, 3, 5 & 7

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 5th January, 2016

ORDER :

By the present application under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the applicant – original complainant seeks cancellation of bail granted to respondent no. 2 to 8, by order dated 22nd May, 2013, passed by Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jamkhed, in connection with C.R. No. 54 of 2013, registered with Police Station, Jamkhed, for offences punishable under Sections 141, 143, 147, 324, 323, 354, 506 and 427 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. It is the case of the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant that the order of grant of bail is unreasoned.

3. Learned Addl. Public Prosecutor has supported the order impugned herein.

(2)

4. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent nos. 2, 3, 5 and 7 has supported the order of grant of bail based on the punishment provided for the offences alleged to have been committed by respondents-accused.

5. Looking to the punishment provided for offence under section 354 of the Indian Penal Code, in my opinion, no interference is called for in the matter of cancellation of bail. In view thereof, the application fails and stand rejected.

(N.W. SAMBRE, J.)

amj