

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9126 OF 2015

Gopal s/o Mitharam Talele

... **Petitioner**

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... **Respondents**

.....

Mr A. G. Talhar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr V. H. Dighe, AGP for respondent/State

Mr V. T. Chaudhari, Advocate for respondents No.3
and 4

.....

CORAM : **S. S. SHINDE &
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : **30th JUNE, 2016.**

PER COURT:

. The learned counsel appearing for respondents No. 3 and 4, on instructions, submits that the fresh proposal is submitted by the said respondents to respondent No. 2 - University.

2. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for respondents No. 3 and 4, nothing is left for consideration in the instant matter.

3. Writ petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

[**SANGITRAO S. PATIL**]
JUDGE

[**S. S. SHINDE**]
JUDGE