

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4296 OF 2015

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4297 OF 2015

[Suvidha Nagari Sahakari Patsanstha, Ahmednagar
Vs.

Sarojini Gokulrao Salve]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions and
Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr.P.R.Nangare, advocate for applicant
Mr.S.S.Jadhavar, advocate for respondent/
sole

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.**DATE : JANUARY 22, 2016****PER COURT :**

Heard.

2] It is an admitted fact that the time taken in prosecuting the revision petition filed by the applicant before the Sessions Court, which was not maintainable, will have to be ignored. Learned counsel for the respondent, however, submits that there was delay in filing the revision petition. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing revision petition was condoned by the Sessions Court.

3] In the circumstances, sufficient cause is made out for condonation of delay caused in filing the application for grant of leave to file appeal.

4] The delay is, therefore, condoned. Present application is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

5] Criminal Application No.4297 of 2015 for grant of leave to file appeal be listed for hearing on 10th February, 2016.

[M.T. JOSHI, J.]

kbp