

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 9101 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11664 OF 2016**

Smt. Farukhaunda Jabin Mohd. Azar
& another

...PETITIONERS

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors.

...RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. Shailendra S. Kulkarni, Advocate for petitioner
Mr. V.M. Kangne, AGP for respondent No. 1
Mr. Ramesh I Wakade Advocate for Respondent No. 3

.....

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE AND
K.K. SONAWANE, JJ.
DATED : 24th AUGUST, 2016.**

Order :-

1. In view of availability of alternate remedy for redressal of grievances by the petitioners in the instant writ petition, the same need not be entertained in exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. If petitioners tender an appeal to the Tribunal within a period of fifteen (15) days from today, the Tribunal shall decide the same on its own merits and in accordance with provisions of law. Respondent No. 3 – Institution do not have any specific objection for condoning delay. It would be open for the petitioners to tender formal application seeking condonation of delay, which shall be considered by the Tribunal favourably.

2. With directions as above, writ petition stands disposed of.

3. Pending Civil application does not survive and stands disposed of.

Sd/-

[K.K.SONAWANE, J.]

Sd/-

[R.M.BORDE, J.]