

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2182 OF 2013
IN
SECOND APPEAL STAMP NO.3180 OF 2013

Sambhajirao Laimbajirao Sonune

APPLICANT

VERSUS

Manikrao Limbajirao Sonune & others

RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. Mahesh P. Kale, Advocate for the applicant
.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 12th FEBRUARY, 2016

ORDER :

1. Heard learned advocate for the applicant. He refers to paragraphs No.3, 4 and 5 of the application and states that under the circumstances delay of 113 days has been caused.
2. Despite service, none appears for the respondents.
3. The contents of the application, as such, go uncontroverted. As such, it would be expedient that the delay be condoned.
4. Accordingly, the civil application is allowed in terms of prayer clause "B" and is disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.9891 OF 2015
IN
SECOND APPEAL STAMP NO.3180 OF 2013

Sambhajirao Laimbajirao Sonune

APPLICANT

VERSUS

Manikrao Limbajirao Sonune & others

RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. Mahesh P. Kale, Advocate for the applicant
.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 12th FEBRUARY, 2016

ORDER :

1. Heard learned advocate for the applicant.
2. Learned advocate states that the application for bringing legal heirs of deceased appellant is within time.
3. As such, the civil application is allowed in terms of prayer clause "C" and stands disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]