

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4273 OF 2015**

(Gangamai Agro Trust, Through its Authorized Signatory Suresh
Murlidhar Hiwarde Vs Deelip Naguji Shembde)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders CORAM : V.L.ACHLIYA, J. DATED : 03.10.2016
--	--

Mr.P.B.Salunke, Advocate h/f Mr. Sunil
B. Kakde, Advocate for applicant
Mr.D.P.Munde, Advocate h/f Mr.
V.D.Salunke, Advocate for
respondent/Sole

1. Heard learned counsel for the
applicant and respondent. Perused the
impugned judgment.

2. In nutshell learned counsel
for the applicant stated that the
reasons and findings recorded by the
Trial Court in dismissing the complaint
are perverse and not sustainable on the
face of evidence on record. He has
submitted that the Trial Court has
dismissed the complaint on the ground

that the complainant has failed to prove that the person who filed the complaint was not authorized to file complaint on behalf of trust. On the other hand learned counsel for the respondent supported the judgment and order passed by the Trial Court.

2. Having appreciated the submissions advanced in light of record and proceeding the case is made out to grant leave to appeal. Hence the application is allowed in terms of prayer Clause (B).

3. Appeal be registered and placed for admission subject to removal of office objection.

[V.L.ACHLIYA, J.]