

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.12552 OF 2016
IN
SECOND APPEAL STAMP NO.23256 OF 2016

Sadanand Tanaji Patil
VERSUS
Pralhad Chitambar Patil

APPLICANT

RESPONDENT

.....
Mr. A. B. Kale, Advocate for the applicant
Mr. S. A. Wakure, Advocate for the respondent
.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 25th NOVEMBER, 2016

ORDER :

1. Learned advocate for the applicants points out that delay is sought to be accounted for under the reasons as are appearing in civil application.
2. Learned advocate for the respondent is not in a position to dispute veracity of the reasons. In the facts and circumstances, it would be expedient to condone the delay occurred in filing second appeal.
3. In view of aforesaid, civil application stands granted in terms of prayer clause "B" and is disposed of. Interim relief to continue.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]