

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4177 OF 2015
(Snehal w/o Nitin Vetal Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others)

Mr. Bipinchandra K. Patil, Advocate holding for and with
Mr. K.H. Dongre, Advocate for the applicant
Mr. M.B. Bharaswadkar, A.P.P. for the respondent-State
None for respondents No. 2 and 3

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.
DATE : 10/03/2016

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.P.P. The respondents No. 2 and 3 are absent despite due service of notice on them.

2. Perused the order of the learned Additional Sessions Judge. The learned Additional Sessions Judge has taken into consideration the absence of the serious allegations of commission of rape in the first complaint filed by the present applicant. In that view of the matter, the order of granting anticipatory bail cannot be called as perverse one. The present application is, therefore, dismissed.

[M.T. JOSHI]
JUDGE