

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 472 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4565 OF 2015**

Vilas Hanmant Adsule and others .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mrs. P. G. Sontakke, Advocate for Petitioners.

Shri S. N. Morampalle, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri A. V. Hon, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 25TH FEBRUARY, 2016.

PER COURT :

. We have heard Mrs. Sontakke, the learned counsel for petitioners.

2. Mr. Hon, the learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 submits that, the Municipal Corporation has decided the representation.

3. In view of the fact that, the representation of petitioners is decided, may be though belatedly, the contempt stands purged.

4. In case, the petitioners are aggrieved by the decision, the petitioners are at liberty to take such step as is permissible in law. The contempt petition is disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]