

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.8011 OF 2016

RPramila John Jadhav and Another

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & others

RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. Shailesh S. Chapalgaonkar, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. A. P. Basarkar, AGP for respondent-State

Ms. Anjali Dube - Bajpai, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 4

.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 29th JULY, 2016

ORDER :

1. Mr. Chapalgaonkar, learned advocate for the petitioners, on written instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the writ petition. Learned advocate tenders across a communication dated 29th July, 2016 received from his client instructing him to withdraw the writ petition. Said communication is taken on record and marked "X" for identification purpose.

2. Ms. Dube, learned advocate states that she had in fact filed caveat on behalf of respondents No.2 and 4, however, since the matter is taken up showing grave exigency, it appears that the caveat has not been tagged along. She contends that the

statements as occurring in paragraph No.1 of the writ petition may not exactly be said to be in tune with the factual position.

3. Be that as it may, since the petitioners are seeking withdrawal of the writ petition unconditionally, leave granted.

4. Writ petition stands disposed of as withdrawn.

5. Ms. Dube insists upon to observe that there is no interim relief hereinafter.

6. Having regard to withdrawal of the writ petition, it shall not be deemed that interim relief is now operating.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]