

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10664 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 7360 OF 2016

Ayubkhan Noorkhan Pathan

Applicant

Versus

Vikas Prakash Yedake
& others

Respondents

Mr. N.B. Khandare, advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Phatale, advocate for the applicant.
Mr. B.L. Sagar Killarikar, advocate for Respondent No.1-petitioner in writ petition.
Mr. A.B. Girase, Government Pleader for respondents 2 and 3.

**CORAM : R.M.BORDE &
K.K.SONAWANE, JJ.
DATE : 24th October, 2016**

PER COURT:

Heard learned Counsel for respective parties.
Civil Application for intervention is allowed.

**K.K.SONAWANE
JUDGE**
adb/wp736016

**R.M.BORDE
JUDGE**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO. 7360 OF 2016

Vikas s/o Prakash Yedake

Petitioner

Versus

The Chief Minister &
Minister for Urban Development Department
& others

Respondents

Mr.B.L. Sagar Killarikar, advocate for the petitioner
Mr.A.B. Girase, Government Pleader for Respondents 1 & 2.
Mr. A.M. Karad, advocate for respondent no. 3.

**CORAM : R.M.BORDE &
K.K.SONAWANE, JJ.
DATE : 24th October, 2016**

PER COURT:

1 Learned Government Pleader appearing for Respondent-State, on instructions, informs that the complaint made by the petitioner on 13.02.2016 would be considered and appropriate decision would be taken on its own merit as expeditiously as possible and preferably within eight weeks from today.

2 It is expected of the State government to extend opportunity of hearing to all the parties who are likely to be affected by such decision.

3 Learned Counsel appearing for the intervener informs that the proposal in respect of approval to the appointment is

pending with the State Government.

4 It would be open for the State Government to consider the proposal together with the complaint tendered by the petitioner on its own merits and in accordance with law. It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion as regards merits of the contentions raised by the petitioner in the instant petition and it would be open for the State Government to take decision on its own merits and in accordance with provisions of law.

5 In view of above, writ petition stands disposed of.

K.K.SONAWANE
JUDGE

adb/wp736016

R.M.BORDE
JUDGE