

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 951 OF 2016

Dheeraj Tukaram Dhutmal
and others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
and another

...Respondents

.....

Shri D.B.Chavan, advocate for the petitioners
Shri A.R.Borulkar, A.P.P. for respondent no.1
Shri D.A.Madkal, advocate for respondent no.2

.....

CORAM : S.S.SHINDE & SANGITRAO S.PATIL, JJ.

DATED : 19th SEPTEMBER, 2016

PER COURT :

We have received the report from the Registrar (Judicial). It appears that the Registrar (Judicial) was inclined to record the verification of terms of compromise between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2, however, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners sought time so as to produce other petitioners.

2. Keeping in view the controversy involved in the matter, it is not necessary to call

petitioner nos. 2 to 9. So, petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 to appear before the Registrar (Judicial). The Registrar (Judicial) to verify the terms of compromise between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2.

3. S.O. at 4.30 p.m., bottom of the Board.

(SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.) (S.S.SHINDE, J.)

dbm/crwp951.16