

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.8051 OF 2015

Nivruti Dnyanoba Lad ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra
and ors. ..Respondents

--

Mr.S.K.Chavan, Advocate for petitioner

Mr.A.G.Magre, AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2

Mr.Ravi Chandak, Advocate for respondent no.4

--

**CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : JUNE 28, 2016**

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for respondent no.4 raised preliminary objection for filing this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, instead of approaching the Industrial Court.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, seeks liberty to withdraw the petition with liberty to file proceedings before the appropriate forum.

4. The Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

5. Needless to observe that in case, the petitioner files proceedings before the appropriate forum and if there is delay in filing the said proceedings, the concerned forum shall keep in view the time spent by the petitioner in prosecuting the present petition.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[S.S. SHINDE, J.]