

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4028 OF 2016 IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.443 OF 2003

(Manoj s/o Tulshiram Yadav & anr. Vs. The State of Maharashtra)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Shri Manoj Kakad, Advocate holding for
Shri A..Mukhedkar, Advocate for applicant
Shri K.D. Mundhe, A.P.P. for State

.....

CORAM : A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.

DATED : 26th July, 2016.

1. Heard Mr. Kakad, Advocate holding for Mr. Mukhedkar, Advocate for applicant and A.P.P. for State. Perused order passed in the Criminal Appeal. The counsel for applicant states that, the applicant - accused had engaged counsel earlier in the appeal who has stopped practice and thus, the appellant was unrepresented. Counsel makes request to cancel theailable warrant.

2. Perused record. As per endorsement of the registry on Farad sheet, the warrant is already executed. As such, the present application does not survive and disposed of.

3. Counsel states that, they have instructions to argue the appeal, for which time may be given. One chance is given. List the appeal on 12th August 2016.

(A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.)