

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 400 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4476 OF 2012**

Jalam Aala Chavan

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Shri Suresh N. Rodge, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Smt. M. A. Deshpande, A.G.P. for Respondents/State.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
K. K. SONAWANE, JJ.**

DATE : 11TH APRIL, 2016.

PER COURT :

. The contempt petition is filed for non compliance of the order dated 16.07.2012. It is submitted that, the application for rental compensation is already decided.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that, however, the amount is not yet paid.

3. The order of which contempt is alleged does not state that the respondents should pay the amount. As such in strict sense contempt cannot be said to have been committed. The petitioner

may take appropriate steps in this regard. The contempt petition is disposed of. No costs.

[K. K. SONAWANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/April 16