

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 416 OF 2003

Sarika w/o Gautam Nikam,
Age: 23 years, Occu: Household
Residing at Harsool, Aurangabad

..APPLICANT

VERSUS

1. Shri Gautam s/o Arjun Nikam,
Age: 31 years, Occu: Rickshaw Driver,
Residing Opp: Tata Power House, PISOVALI,
Jaibhimnagar, Kalyan(East),
Mumbai

2. State of Maharashtra

..RESPONDENTS

Mr Kshitij Surve, Advocate holding for Mr Hemant Surve, Advocate for
applicant;
Mr A. R. Kale, Addl. Public Prosecutor for respondent No. 2

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 4th May, 2016

ORAL ORDER :

Having heard Mr Surve, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the
applicant for sometime, it is required to be noted that, in case if the fresh
application for enhancement is preferred by the applicant, same be
decided by the concerned Court, without being influenced of the
observations made in the order dated 12th November, 2003, passed in
rejected case No. 81 of 2003 by the learned Family Court, Aurangabad
(Sarika w/o Gautam Nikam Vs. Shri Gautam s/o Arjun Nikam).

2. With above observations, Criminal Revision Application stands
disposed of.

(N.W. SAMBRE, J.)

sjk