

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.920 OF 2014

1) Satish s/o Manikchand Bothra,

2) Mahesh s/o Mansukhlal Bhandari,

3) Dnyaneshwar s/o Tabaji Jadhav

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

1) The State of Maharashtra,

2) Balasaheb s/o Murlidhar Lagad

...RESPONDENTS

...

Mr.Santosh S. Jadhavar Advocate for Petitioners.

Mr.S.W. Munde, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1.

...

CORAM: S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 6TH OCTOBER 2016

ORDER :

1. Heard. Perused the grounds raised in the
Petition and annexures thereto and also the
averments in the complaint. One of the allegation
by Respondent No.2 is that though he was not

skilled worker, job which is supposed to be done by the skilled worker, was assigned to him. Therefore, the said statement of Respondent No.2 can only be tested during trial wherein the Petitioners will have opportunity to cross-examine him. Apart from it, the Petitioners will also have opportunity to put forth their contention before the concerned Court.

2. In that view of the matter, we are not inclined to entertain this Petition. Hence this Writ Petition stands rejected.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[S.S. SHINDE, J.]

asb/OCT16