

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 447 OF 2003

Shri. Supdu s/o Manik More,
Age: 29 years, Occ: Labourer,
R/o. Don, Tq. Chalisgaon,
Dist. Jalgaon.

...Petitioner

versus

Sau. Vandana Supdu More,
Age: 26 years,
R/o. C/o. Namdeo Devchand Ahire,
Patonda, Tq. Chalisgaon,
Dist. Jalgaon.

...Respondent

.....
Mr. P.B. Patil, Advocate h/f Mrs. V.S. Chaudhari,
Advocate for petitioner
.....

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 15th APRIL, 2016

ORAL ORDER :

The respondent-wife claimed maintenance under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure before learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Chalisgaon being Criminal Misc. Application No.180 of 2001, which came to be rejected on 24/09/2002. However, in Criminal Revision Application No. 326 of 2002, learned Adhoc Additional Sessions Judge, Jalgaon allowed the prayer for maintenance and directed present applicant-husband to pay monthly maintenance of Rs.500/- to present respondent-wife, by

an order dated 02/09/2003.

2. Having heard learned Counsel for the applicant for some time, it is noted that no illegality could be noticed in the order of granting maintenance of Rs.500/- per month, which was passed on 02/09/2003.

3. The Criminal Writ Petition is devoid of merit. No interference is called for in extraordinary writ jurisdiction. As such, the criminal writ petition fails and stands dismissed.

[N.W. SAMBRE, J.]