

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.10689 OF 2016

Rameshwar Bhaskar Dandale ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra
and ors. ..Respondents

--

Mr.S.A.Deshmukh, Advocate for petitioner

Mr.A.S.Shinde, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3

--

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : NOVEMBER 24, 2016**

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. The petitioner has failed to pass the departmental examination within three chances during the stipulated period of nine years. Since the petitioner did not comply with the requirements of the relevant rules prescribing promotional avenues, he has not been considered for promotion along with respondent nos.4 to 11,

who claimed to be juniors to the petitioner. The Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal has considered all the relevant aspects and turned down the request of the petitioner.

3. We are not inclined to entertain the petition in exercise of extra ordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The petition is devoid of substance and as such, stands rejected.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[R.M. BORDE, J.]