

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

|                                                                                      |                                                        |                            |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Office Memoranda of appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders. | Notes, of Coram, Court's orders or Registrar's orders. | Court's or Judge's orders. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9748 OF 2015  
IN FAST/20154/2015

WITH CA/9750/2015 IN FAST/20215/2015 I WITH CA/9752/2015  
IN FAST/20218/2015 WITH CA/9754/2015 IN FAST/20260/2015  
WITH CA/9756/2015 IN FAST/20257/2015 WITH CA/9758/2015  
IN FAST/20254/2015 WITH CA/9760/2015 IN FAST/20209/2015  
WITH CA/9763/2015 IN FAST/20251/2015 WITH CA/9765/2015  
IN FAST/20266/2015 WITH CA/9767/2015 IN FAST/20236/2015  
WITH CA/9769/2015 IN FAST/20233/2015 WITH CA/9771/2015  
IN FAST/20230/2015 WITH CA/9773/2015 IN FAST/20269/2015  
WITH CA/9775/2015 IN FAST/20239/2015 WITH CA/9777/2015  
IN FAST/20224/2015 WITH CA/9779/2015 IN FAST/20263/2015  
WITH CA/9781/2015 IN FAST/20248/2015 WITH CA/9783/2015  
IN FAST/20245/2015 WITH CA/9785/2015 IN FAST/20242/2015  
WITH CA/9787/2015 IN FAST/20221/2015 WITH CA/9789/2015  
IN FAST/20212/2015 WITH CA/9791/2015 IN FAST/20227/2015

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
BANSHI SHANKAR RANDHAVE

...  
AGP for Applicant : Mr. C. V. Dharurkar.  
Advocate for Respondent: Mr. K. D. Bade Patil.

\*\*\*

**CORAM: T. V. NALAWADE, J.**  
**DATED: 15<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2016.**

**PER COURT:**

1. Learned counsel Mr. Bade Patil submits that he is appearing for original claimants. Heard both the sides.
2. The reasons like time required for processing the

matter and for getting various sanctions etc. are given. This Court holds that sufficient cause is shown. It appears that in similar matters, out of the same group, this Court had condoned the delay. So, the applications are allowed. Delay is condoned.

**(T. V. NALAWADE, J.)**

Dt.15/02/2016.  
ans/9748