

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

909 **CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11376 OF 2011**

IN

FAST/20394/2011

WITH

CA/11378/2011 IN FAST/20408/2011

WITH

CA/11380/2011 IN FAST/20404/2011

WITH

CA/11382/2011 IN FAST/20412/2011

WITH

CA/11384/2011 IN FAST/20343/2011

WITH

CA/11386/2011 IN FAST/20382/2011

WITH

CA/11388/2011 IN FAST/20386/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR. COLLECTOR, BEED
VERSUS
NAMDEO MARUTI PAWAR AND ORS

...

AGP for Applicant State: Mr.N.T.Bhagat
Mr.V.P.Sawant, Adv., for respondent no.4.

...

CORAM : P.R. BORA, J.

Dated: April 21, 2016

...

PER COURT :-

1. Though the original claimants are duly served, none of them have put in their appearance.
2. Heard learned A.G.P. It is submitted that in procedural compliances and obtaining sanctions at different levels and from different authorities, time was consumed and that is the reason that the appeals could not be filed within stipulated period of limitation.
3. For the reasons stated in the applications, the delay is condoned. The appeals be registered in accordance with law.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

AGP/-