

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 7707 OF 2015

SHAIKH SADIK ALI USMAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. R. K. Ashtekar.
AGP for Respondents : Mr. S.G. Karlekar.

CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA
& A.M. BADAR, JJ.
DATE : 7TH JANUARY, 2016.

PER COURT:

1] Limited grievance is made, that is to decide the representation of the petitioner.

2] An affidavit is filed by the Tahsildar, which states as under :-

"8. I further say and submit that, looking to the fact that, the Petitioners grievance is being looked into and the Petitioners application is under process, the Writ Petition need not be entertained. I further submit that, after the committee constituted by the Tahsildar, submits its report to the Tahsildar, the Tahsildar would have to apply its mind to the fact of the case and then the Tahsildar, Latur has to submit report to the Collector, Latur.

9. I further submit that, after receiving the report from the Tahsildar, Latur, the Collector would be in a position to decide the Petitioner's application.

10. I further say and submit that, looking to all the above said facts, it may take around six months time for the Ld. Collector, to decide the Petitioner's representation and therefore, the present Writ Petition may kindly be disposed off."

3] In view of the affidavit, the grievance of the petition stands redressed. Writ petition stands disposed of.

[A.M. BADAR]
JUDGE

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

grt/-