

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7404 OF 2015

Transcon India through its Proprietor .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Ajeet B. Kale, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. S. S. Raut, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri S. M. Godsay, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
K. K. SONAWANE, JJ.**

DATE : 27TH JULY, 2016.

PER COURT :

. Mr. Godsay, the learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 on instructions states that, the tender in question now would not be processed and new tender will be floated.

2. Mr. Kale, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that, the petitioner be given liberty to assail the fresh tender that may be floated, in case the petitioner is aggrieved by any of the terms and conditions.

3. The writ petition as such is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to assail the fresh tender, if issued and if aggrieved by

the terms and conditions thereof as we have not dealt with present writ petition on merits. No costs.

[K. K. SONAWANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/July 16