

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

944 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 410 OF 2004

BHANUDAS UTTAM MOKATE

VERSUS

VIMAL BHANUDAS MOKATE & ANR.

.....

Mr. R.L.Kute, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. P.R.Nangare, Advocate for R – 1.

Mr. K.N.Lokhande, A.P.P. for R – 2 - State.

.....

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.

DATE : 18th NOVEMBER, 2016

.....

ORAL ORDER :

1. Mr. P.R.Nangare, learned Advocate for respondent No.1 (wife) states that the dispute between the petitioner and respondent No. 1 is amicably settled. Learned Advocate for applicant (husband) states that the applicant is not responding and is not giving any instructions.

2. Accepting the statement made on behalf of respondent No. 1, the matter is disposed of. It is clarified that as the matter is disposed of on the statement made on behalf of respondent No. 1, respondent No. 1 will not be entitled to execute the impugned order. Parties to bear their own costs.

[Z.A.HAQ, J.]