

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3436 OF 2016

Pankajsing s/o Kallusing Dudhani ..APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra ..RESPONDENT

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1444 OF 2016

Dayasing s/o Gulzarsing Tak ..APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra ..RESPONDENT

Mr S. A. Gaikwad, Advocate for applicants;
Mr S. D. Ghayal, Addl. Public Prosecutor for
respondent

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1921 OF 2016

Virmangalsingh alias Daunsingh
s/o Mayasingh Dudhani ..APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra ..RESPONDENT

Mr R. S. Deshmukh, Advocate for applicant;
Mr S. D. Ghayal, Addl. Public Prosecutor for
respondent

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 1st August, 2016

(2)

ORDER :

In almost all Criminal Applications, the change in the circumstance that is brought before this Court for seeking regular bail in the Maharashtra Control of Organized Crimes Act and allied sections of Indian Penal Code, is the charge is framed and trial has not proceeded.

2. Apart from above, Mr Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the applicant would urge that so far as the accused Dayalsing is concerned, he is suffering from H.I.V. and as such, entitled for bail.

3. In my opinion, the said circumstance, as is sought to be placed on record for seeking regular bail, when their earlier applications for the same were dismissed as withdrawn.

4. In view of above, in my opinion, no case for grant of bail is made out. As such, Criminal Applications fail and same stand rejected.

(N.W. SAMBRE, J.)