

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**49 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7625 OF 2006
IN
SA/269/1999**

VIRBHADRA BABA PANCHLINGE

VERSUS

ONKAR GANESH MALEGAWA AND ORS.

.....

Mrs. S.G.Chincholkar h/f Mr. G.N.Chincholkar,
Advocate for Applicant.

.....

CORAM : T.V.NALAWADE, J.

DATE : 20th JUNE, 2016

ORAL ORDER :-

. The application is filed for condonation of delay of 916 days caused in filing Review Application. The applicant/original appellant wants to seek review of the Order made by this Court in S.A. No. 269 of 1999. This Court dismissed the Appeal by holding that no substantial question of law as such is involved in the matter and the findings are concurrent findings and they are on question of facts.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in respect of the delay the counsel is taking blame to herself. To show that there is some arguable case, she submitted that one Jagan was not made party to the Suit and this point is not

considered. If Jagan was not party to the Suit, the decision will not be binding on Jagan. Further, the Suit was specifically in respect of some portion which is considered by the Courts below.

3. In view of these circumstances, there is no scope to argue for the review proceeding. No sufficient cause is shown for condonation of delay.

4. Present Civil Application stands rejected. Other Civil Applications also stands disposed of.

[T.V.NALAWADE, J.]