

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6645 OF 2013

Md. Ziya Md. Osman Qureshi .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri H. I. Pathan, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S. N. Morampalle, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Shri M. M. Patil (Beedkar), Advocate for the Respondent No. 4.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 02ND MARCH, 2016.

PER COURT :

. Main prayer made in the writ petition is that, the respondent No. 2/Collector be directed to proceed with the appeal by issuing notice to the respondents and to decide the appeal.

2. According to the learned A. G. P., the petitioner does not have any *locus standi* to file the appeal.

3. It is for the authority to pass order on an appeal filed by the petitioner. The respondent No. 2 shall pass orders on the appeal filed by the petitioner on its own merits, in accordance with law after hearing all parties concerned, expeditiously and

preferably within a period of nine (09) months from today. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

4. All contentions of respective parties are kept open.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/March 16