

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3145 OF 2016 IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.371 OF 2016

Rohini Satish Shelar ... APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra ... RESPONDENT

.....
Shri A.Z. Gandhi, Advocate for applicant
Shri K.S. Patil, A.P.P. for respondent
.....

CORAM: A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.

DATED: 29th June, 2016.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard. Perused the application. Considered the judgment of conviction and sentence which has been passed. The applicant was on bail in the trial Court when the judgment of conviction was passed. It is stated that, she has already paid the concerned fine. The learned counsel for the applicant - accused prays that bail may be granted as even after the conviction, the trial Court has suspended the sentence and at present the

applicant is on bail till filing of the appeal.

2. Learned A.P.P. opposes the application.

3. Considering the judgment, conviction and sentence as passed, and the fact that the present sentence is a short term sentence, and the appeal will take time to be decided, the application deserves to be allowed.

4. The criminal application is allowed. The sentence of imprisonment alone of the applicant is suspended till decision of the appeal subject to the applicant - accused appearing before the trial Court on 13th July 2016 and furnishing P.R.B. and S.B. in the sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees fifteen thousand). At the time of releasing the applicant - accused on bail, the trial Court shall add condition of - accused marking presence in the trial Court every three months, till disposal of the Criminal Appeal. Trial Court shall yearly send report in January of marking presence by accused in this regard to this Court till disposal of appeal.

(A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.)