

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.501/2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.386/2015

Deva S/o Laxman Gaikwad

..Petitioner..

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents..

.....
Shri D.R.Jayabhar, Advocate for Petitioner.
Shri V.H. Dighe, AGP for the State.
.....

CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
K.L. WADANE, JJ.

DATE: 30.09.2016

ORDER :

1] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this Court had allowed the petitioner to file a representation before the respondent – authority and the respondent – authority was directed to take decision upon the said representation within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of such a representation. The petitioner had filed a representation on 29.1.2015. No decision was taken as directed by this Court.

2] Learned AGP states that on receipt of the

representation, the Tahsildar had conducted necessary enquiry and decision has been taken on 9.3.2016 by passing an order.

3] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said order, which is filed alongwith the affidavit in reply is not correct. The same is an illegal one.

4] If the petitioner is aggrieved by the order passed, the petitioner has a remedy available to assail the same before the appropriate forum.

5] As the order stands complied, the contempt stands purged. Contempt petition as such stands disposed of.

(K.L. WADANE, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)