

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6680 OF 2013
WITH
REVIEW PETITION (ST) NO.17478 OF 2012
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 903 OF 1992

DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER, MSRTC, BEED
VERSUS
TUKARAM GOVIND KANADE

...
Advocate for Applicant : Shri Dhongade A.B.
Advocate for Respondents : Shri Singh M.K.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: July 28, 2016

...
PER COURT :-

1. Heard learned Advocates for the respective parties.
2. For the reasons recorded in the Civil Application for delay condonation, the same is allowed.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant / Corporation submits that though the respondent / employee was reinstated, pursuant to the judgment of the Labour Court, dated 7.3.1992 in Reference (IDA) No.50 of 1989, he has been subsequently dismissed on 20.10.1998 for proved misconducts. His Complaint (ULP) No.140 of 1998 has been dismissed by the Labour Court on 24.2.2008 and there has been no further challenge.
4. It is, therefore, submitted that the Review Petition has become infructuous.
5. In the light of the statement made on instructions as above, the Review Petition stands disposed off.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...