

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5891 OF 2016

LAXUMAN JALBAJI WAVHALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr S M Kulkarni
AGP for Respondents: Mr P N Kutti
Mr S P Katneshwarkar h/f Mr. S.G.Sangle for
respondent no.2.

...

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & V.K. JADHAV, JJ.

Dated: July 13, 2016

...

PER COURT :-

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, restricts this petition to the extent relief claimed in prayer clause 'B'.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent no.2 has no objection to give direction to respondent no.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner at Exh.'D' collectively on its own merits.

3. In the light of submissions made across the bar, petition is disposed of with directions to respondent no.2 to decide said representation of the petitioner on its

own merits, as expeditiously as possible, within 12 (twelve) weeks from today, if already not decided. Petition stands disposed of in above terms.

4. Needless to observe that, in case, decision of respondent no.2 goes adverse to the petitioner, the petitioner will be at liberty to challenge the said decision by way of filing appropriate proceedings as available in law.

(V.K. JADHAV, J.)

(S.S. SHINDE, J.)

...

aaa/-