

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5099 OF 2007

Tapi Irrigation Development
Corporation, Jalgaon through its
Chief Engineer .. Petitioner
Versus
Sau. Tagibai Sangaji Naik and another .. Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5100 OF 2007**

Tapi Irrigation Development
Corporation, Jalgaon through its
Chief Engineer .. Petitioner
Versus
Ashok Kapurchand Pawar and another .. Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5101 OF 2007**

Tapi Irrigation Development
Corporation, Jalgaon through its
Chief Engineer .. Petitioner
Versus
Pandu Mohan Pawar and another .. Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5102 OF 2007**

Tapi Irrigation Development
Corporation, Jalgaon through its
Chief Engineer .. Petitioner
Versus
Rama Rupa Naik and another .. Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5103 OF 2007**

Tapi Irrigation Development
Corporation, Jalgaon through its
Chief Engineer .. Petitioner
Versus
Dagadu Mohan Pawar and another .. Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5104 OF 2007**

Tapi Irrigation Development
Corporation, Jalgaon through its
Chief Engineer .. Petitioner
Versus
Bharmal Mohan Pawar and another .. Respondents

Shri Mahesh S. Deshmukh, Advocate for the Petitioner in all matters.

Shri A. B. Kale, Advocate for the Respondent No. 1 in all matters.

Shri S. M. Ganachari, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 2 in all matters.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
K. K. SONAWANE, JJ.**

DATE : 16TH JUNE, 2016.

PER COURT :

. We have heard Mr. Deshmukh, the learned counsel for the petitioner. Present writ petitions are filed on the premise that in proceedings U/Sec. 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, the present petitioner and acquiring body was not made party.

2. The learned Assistant Government Pleader states that, against the award passed by the Reference Court, State has already filed appeals and the same are pending.

3. As appeals are already filed against the award passed by the Reference Court, the present petitioner can make appropriate applications in the said pending appeals.

4. The writ petitions as such are disposed of with aforesaid observations. It is made clear that, we have not considered other merits of contentions of respective parties. No costs. Rule discharged.

[K. K. SONAWANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]