

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2582 OF 2016

Pintya @ Pinya @ Parmeshwar
Sabasha Chavan

.. Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

.. Respondent

.....

Mr. M.B. Humne, Advocate for the applicant
Smt. R.P. Gour, APP for the respondent-State

.....

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.
DATE : 20/06/2016

ORAL ORDER :

Heard.

2. The applicant is seeking regular bail in Crime no. 82 of 2010 registered at Gangapur Police Station, Dist. Aurangabad for the offence punishable under section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Shri Humne, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail looking to the fact that the

investigation in the matter is already complete and chargesheet is filed. According to him, the age of the present applicant be also taken into account.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposed the application on the ground that the applicant is a history sheeter.

5. From the record, as is brought to my notice, it is apparent that in crime no. 110 of 2012 for the offences punishable under section 454 and 380 of the Indian Penal Code, the applicant claims to have been acquitted. There are other three crimes including the present crime pending against the applicant, which are of similar nature i.e. for the offences punishable under sections 379, 399, 402, 395 of the Indian Penal Code i.e. Crime No. 117 of 2012 and Crime No. 52 of 2016.

6. The applicant is taken into custody in the present crime which of the year 2010, in the year 2016, as he was absconding and was ordered to be

released on bail in earlier crime. As a consequence, no case is made out for releasing the applicant on bail in view of the criminal antecedents. Criminal Application is rejected.

[N.W. SAMBRE]
JUDGE

arp/-