

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

930 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8316 OF 2011
IN FAST/15170/2011 WITH CA/8317/2011 IN FAST/15170/2011
WITH CA/8318/2011 IN FAST/15236/2011 WITH CA/8319/2011 IN
FAST/15236/2011 WITH CA/8320/2011 IN FAST/15228/2011 WITH
CA/8321/2011 IN FAST/15228/2011 WITH CA/8323/2011 IN
FAST/15224/2011 WITH CA/8324/2011 IN FAST/15249/2011 WITH
CA/8325/2011 IN FAST/15249/2011 WITH CA/8326/2011 IN
FAST/15252/2011 WITH CA/8327/2011 IN FAST/15252/2011 WITH
CA/8328/2011 IN FAST/15268/2011 WITH CA/8329/2011 IN
FAST/15268/2011 WITH CA/8330/2011 IN FAST/15259/2011 WITH
CA/8331/2011 IN FAST/15259/2011 WITH CA/8332/2011 IN
FAST/15263/2011 WITH CA/8333/2011 IN FAST/15263/2011 WITH
CA/8334/2011 IN FAST/15218/2011 WITH CA/8335/2011 IN
FAST/15218/2011 WITH CA/8336/2011 IN FAST/15253/2011 WITH
CA/8337/2011 IN FAST/15253/2011 WITH CA/8338/2011 IN
FAST/15232/2011 WITH CA/8339/2011 IN FAST/15232/2011 WITH
CA/8340/2011 IN FAST/15282/2011 WITH CA/8341/2011 IN
FAST/15282/2011 WITH CA/8342/2011 IN FAST/15278/2011 WITH
CA/8343/2011 IN FAST/15278/2011 WITH CA/8344/2011 IN
FAST/15275/2011 WITH CA/8345/2011 IN FAST/15275/2011 WITH
CA/8346/2011 IN FAST/15272/2011 WITH CA/8347/2011 IN
FAST/15272/2011 WITH CA/8348/2011 IN FAST/15285/2011 WITH
CA/8349/2011 IN FAST/15285/2011 WITH CA/8350/2011 IN
FAST/15295/2011 WITH CA/8351/2011 IN FAST/15295/2011 WITH
CA/8352/2011 IN FAST/15288/2011 WITH CA/8353/2011 IN
FAST/15288/2011 WITH CA/8354/2011 IN FAST/15246/2011 WITH
CA/8355/2011 IN FAST/15246/2011 WITH CA/8356/2011 IN
FAST/15241/2011 WITH CA/8357/2011 IN FAST/15241/2011 WITH
CA/8358/2011 IN FAST/15240/2011 WITH CA/8359/2011 IN
FAST/15240/2011 WITH CA/8960/2011 IN FAST/15224/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS
VERSUS
LALITABAI SHRIHARI MUNDHE

...

AGP for Applicants : S.R. Yadav
Advocate for Respondents : Gunale V.D.

...

CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE, J.
DATED : 1st March, 2016.

ORDER :

1. Learned counsel Shri. Gunale submitted that he is appearing for all the original claimants in all the matters. Both the sides are heard.

2. Contentions and submissions are made for the State in applications filed for delay condonation that some time was consumed in getting certified copies of the judgments, some time was consumed in processing the matters, some time was consumed for obtaining sanction at various level. It is a fact that such time is required. In view of the contents of the applications and submissions made, this Court holds that sufficient cause is shown. So, all the applications filed for condonation of delay are allowed. Delay is condoned.

3. Notice in appeals for final disposal. Shri. Gunale waives notice for all original claimants. List all the appeals for final disposal on 11.7.2016.

4. Heard the learned AGP for applicants in stay applications. Seen the judgments and awards. This Court holds that stay can be granted subject to depositing of entire amount of award within twelve weeks from today. In those terms, the

applications are allowed and disposed of. If the amount is not deposited within stipulated period, it is to be presumed that there is no stay to the execution proceeding.

[T.V. NALAWADE, J.]

ssc/