

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 318 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 506 OF 2015
(Vidya Rambhaji Ambhore Vs. Nandkumar Jatre and others)

Mr. S.B. Gastgar, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. V.H. Dighe, A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 and 2
Mr. V.V. Bhavthankar for respondent No. 3
Mr. V.G. Salgare, Advocate for respondent No. 4

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 9th AUGUST, 2016

PER COURT :

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner,
the learned A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and the
learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

2. The learned A.G.P. appearing for respondent
Nos. 1 and 2 invited our attention to the averments made
in the affidavit-in-reply filed by Shri Bhagwan s/o
Shridharrao Sonawane, who is working as the Education
Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad. Relying
on the averments made in the said affidavit-in-reply, he
submits that respondent No. 2 has taken the decision on
22nd July, 2016 and granted approval to the appointment
of the petitioner as Shikshan Sevak with effect from 14th

August, 2012. It is further submitted that the concerned Headmaster, vide letter dated 25th July, 2016, is asked to submit the proposal/salary bills of the petitioner. He, on instructions of respondent No.2, who is present in the Court today, submits that already, the proposal is received and respondent No. 2 will ensure that the total outstanding due towards honorarium will be disbursed to the petitioner within two weeks from today.

3. In the light of above, the contempt petition stands disposed of. The show-cause notice issued to respondent No. 2 under Chapter XXXIV, Rule 9, Form No. I of the Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules, 1960, vide order dated 5th July, 2016, stands revoked.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[S.S. SHINDE]
JUDGE

npj/cp318-2016