

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 5007 OF 2016

**(The Tanzeem-E-Taraqqui Shikshan Prasarak Mandal, Ashti
through its President Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
three others)**

Mr. Vitthal M. Chate, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. A.G. Magre, A.G.P. for respondents No. 1 to 4

**CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 6th JUNE, 2016

PER COURT :

1. Heard.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the District Level Committee has recommended the proposal of the petitioner-Institution for opening secondary school at village Mandva, Taluka Ashti, District Beed, which is included in the master plan, yet respondents No. 1 and 2 have not taken the decision on the said proposal.

3. We direct respondents No. 1 and 2 to take the decision on the proposal of the petitioner, if already not taken, as expeditiously as possible, however, within

a period of six weeks from today, on its own merits and keeping in view the Government policy. If it is necessary, respondents No. 1 and 2 will be at liberty to hear the petitioner before taking the decision on the petitioner's proposal. If such a decision is taken, the same shall be communicated to the petitioner forthwith.

4. The writ petition is disposed of on above terms. The parties to act on authenticated copy of this order.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[S.S. SHINDE]
JUDGE

npj/wp5007-2016