IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 6882 OF 2016
(Anil Janardhan Gaikwad Vs. Shri Saibaba Sansthan Trust Shirdi through its Executive Officer and others)

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6883 OF 2016
(Lina Shrikrishna Patil Vs. Shri Saibaba Sansthan Trust Shirdi through its Executive Officer and others)

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6884 OF 2016
(Santosh Babruwan Surwase Vs. Shri Saibaba Sansthan Trust Shirdi through its Executive Officer and others)

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6885 OF 2016
(Ravindra Ganpat Antre Vs. Shri Saibaba Sansthan Trust Shirdi through its Executive Officer and others)

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6886 OF 2016
(Sunita Shankarrao Kadu Vs. Shri Saibaba Sansthan Trust Shirdi through its Executive Officer and others)

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6887 OF 2016
(Mahendra Vilasrao Tambe Vs. Shri Saibaba Sansthan Trust Shirdi through its Executive Officer and others)

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6888 OF 2016
(Vasant Vishram Vikhe Vs. Shri Saibaba Sansthan Trust Shirdi through its Executive Officer and others)

WRIT PETITION NO. 6889 OF 2016
(Sachin Vasant Deshmukh Vs. Shri Saibaba Sansthan Trust Shirdi through its Executive Officer and others)

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6890 OF 2016
(Rajshree Tayaba Shingare Vs. Shri Saibaba Sansthan Trust Shirdi through its Executive Officer and others)

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 6891 OF 2016
(Pankaj Vijay Kamble Vs. Shri Saibaba Sansthan Trust Shirdi through its Executive Officer and others)

Mr. Avinash K. Shejwal, Advocate for the Petitioner in all the Writ Petitions
Mr. S.D. Kaldate, A.G.P. for respondent/State in all the Writ Petitions

---

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 1st AUGUST, 2016

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. There is innocuous prayer in these petitions inasmuch as the petitioners have sought direction to respondent nos.1 and 2 to decide their pending applications/representations. In the circumstances, we direct respondent nos. 1 and 2 to take decision, if already not taken, on the pending applications/ representations submitted by the petitioners as expeditiously as possible; however, within six months from today, on their own merits without being influenced by the fact that this Court has given the above direction and communicate the decision so taken to the

petitioners within the said period. All the writ petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

3. The learned A.G.P. appearing for the respondent/State assures this Court that this order will be communicated to respondent nos. 1 and 2.

4. Parties to act on authenticated copy of this order.

[**SANGITRAO S. PATIL**]
JUDGE

[S.S. SHINDE]
JUDGE

npj/wp6882-2016+