

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13238/2016

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.7805/2009

Ramdas Dashrath Taphare

...Applicant..

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others.

...Respondents...

.....

Shri S.S.Jadhavar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri B.A.Shinde, AGP for the State.

.....

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
K.L. WADANE, JJ.**

DATE: 30.09.2016

ORDER :

1] Writ petition is not yet admitted. In the writ petition also, none appears for the original respondent nos.2 & 3.

2] We have heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned AGP for the State.

3] Subsequent order passed by the Accountant General after filing of the writ petition is sought to be

assailed by way of amendment.

4] Considering that the amendment deals with a cause which has subsequently arisen after filing of the writ petition and is a consequence of the order already assailed in the writ petition, present civil application is allowed in terms of prayer clause [B]. Civil application is disposed of. Necessary amendment be carried out within a period of 14 days.

(K.L. WADANE, J.) (S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)