

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

..CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7681 OF 2016..

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3414 OF 2016

SHABUL GAFUR SAHEB ANDHORE

VERSUS

SHRI SIDDHIVINAYAK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA

...

Advocate for Applicant : Shri Wakode R.I.

Advocate for Respondents 1 & 2 : Shri Salgare V.G.

Advocate for Respondent 3 : Shri Gastgar Santosh B.

AGP for Respondent 4 : Shri Kutti P.N.

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

Dated: October 07, 2016

...

PER COURT :-

1. The applicant submits that the result of the Writ Petition filed by the management would affect the applicant, who is an employee appointed prior to the termination of the original appellant - Dilip Raosaheb Kachole. He, however, fears that the result of this petition would impact his service. He, therefore, prays for intervention and addition as a respondent in the petition.

2. Shri Salgare, learned Advocate for the management as well as Shri Gastagar, learned Advocate for the original appellant submit that they have no objection if the services of the applicant are made subject to the Writ Petition.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7681 OF 2016

3. In the light of the above, this application is allowed. The petitioner is directed to add the applicant Shabul Gafur Saheb Andhore as respondent No.3 in the pending Writ Petition. Needless to state, his services would be subject to the result of the Writ Petition.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...