

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

SECOND APPEAL NO.295 OF 2013

Isak s/o Shekumbar Shaikh ..Appellant

Vs.

Malanbee w/o Shekumbar Shaikh ..Respondent

Mr S.R. Shaikh, Advocate h/f Mr S.S. Kazi, Advocate for appellant
Mr A.S. More, Advocate for respondent no. 1

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 25th October, 2016

PER COURT

Isak s/o Shekumbar Shaikh ..Appellant

Vs.

Malanbee w/o Shekumbar Shaikh ..Respondent

Mr S.R. Shaikh, Advocate h/f Mr S.S. Kazi, Advocate for appellant
Mr A.S. More, Advocate for respondent no. 1

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 25th October, 2016

PER COURT

1. Learned counsel for the parties state that terms of settlement have been verified by Registrar (Judicial) of this court and that they have identified their respective parties before the Registrar. Learned counsel further state that they have received instructions from the respective parties that the terms of compromise, as recorded are proper and as such, are acceptable to them.

2. The report of the Registrar indicates, parties signing the compromise terms being present in person before him and that they have understood and accepted the same.

3. In view of aforesaid, the second appeal is disposed of in terms of terms of compromise. Decree be drawn accordingly.

4. The terms of compromise are taken on record and marked 'X' for the purpose of identification.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH,
JUDGE

vvr