

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4584 OF 2016

Uttam S/o. Yeshwant Mane .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Vinayak H. Solanke, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri S. S. Dande, A. G. P. for Respondent / State
Shri D. B. Pokale, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4593 OF 2016

Uttam S/o. Yeshwantrao Mane .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Vinayak H. Solanke, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri S. S. Dande, A. G. P. for Respondent / State.
Shri D. B. Pokale, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
K. K. SONAWANE, JJ.

DATE : 22ND APRIL, 2016.

PER COURT :-

1. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. Various grounds are raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner, same would be disputed question of fact. The learned counsel relies on the judgment of **Manchak S/o. Shahaji Pawar**

V/s. State of Maharashtra and others reported in **2011 (3) Mh. L. J. 833** and **Chhaya W/o. Sandeep Waghmare V/s. Manoj S/o. Arun Raut and others** reported in **2011 (6) Mh. L. J. 565.**

2. All stages in the process of election are complete except voting. Even symbols are allotted and the voting is scheduled on 27th April, 2016. The petitioner has a remedy under the statute.

3. In light of that, the Writ Petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy as is available in law to challenge election as may be permissible.

4. The Writ Petitions are disposed of. No costs.

[K. K. SONAWANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

sam/April.16